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Summary: \textit{Mitrastemon yamamotoi} Makino (Mitrastemonaceae) is the only species of the genus found in the state of Meghalaya, North East India. The present study is a recollection of the species for the second time after a gap of about 45 years. In addition to its detailed taxonomic description, habitat characterization, threats operating on the species and related conservation implications are also discussed.

The genus \textit{Mitrastemon} is represented by two species, i.e., \textit{Mitrastemon matudae} Yamam. and \textit{M. yamamotoi} Makino. The former is found in Central America, and the latter in tropical and subtropical Asia. The genus belongs to the family Mitrastemonaceae, grows as herbs and lives as parasites on roots of some trees. In India, the genus is represented by only one species i.e., \textit{Mitrastemon yamamotoi}, and it is found only in Khasi Hills of Meghalaya (Meijer and Veldkamp 1993).

\textit{Mitrastemon yamamotoi} is a unique root parasite and is considered a good example of transpacific distribution. It was first reported by Makino in 1909 from Japan as \textit{Mitrastemma yamamotoi} (Makino 1909), and was later corrected as \textit{Mitrastemon yamamotoi} (Makino 1911). In India, this species was first collected in 1969 by Rao and Balakrishnan from Mawsmai forest in East Khasi Hills of Meghalaya (Rao and Balakrishnan 1972). Since then the species has not been collected from the state (Nayar and Sastry 1990). The species was listed as ‘Rare’ by Walter and Gillett (1998) and is classified as ‘Endangered’ in the Red Data Book of Indian Plants (Nayar and Sastry 1990). Even in Japan the species is considered ‘Rare’ (Makino 1911).

In the present study, a detailed distribution, plant description, habitat characterization, threat operating on the species and conservation measures that need to be adopted are discussed, so as to prevent the species from extinction in India.

During a recent floristic exploration carried out in Cherrapunjee and adjoining areas in East Khasi Hills district of Meghalaya, we came across this interesting species. After a critical examination, comparison with the original description and available literature (Makino 1909, 1911, 1928, Hayata 1913, Matuda 1947, Rao and Balakrishnan 1972, Huang and Gilbert 2003) as well as comparison with the herbarium specimens of Botanical Survey of India, Eastern Circle, Shillong, its identity was confirmed as \textit{Mitrastemon yamamotoi}. The vegetation of the area, where the species was collected falls under subtropical broadleaved wet-hill forests (Champion and Seth 1968). The dominant canopy trees of the forests include \textit{Castanopsis tribuloides} (Sm.) DC., \textit{Castanopsis kurzii} (Hance) Biswas, \textit{Echinocarpus murex} Benth., \textit{Elaeocarpus} spp., \textit{Lithocarpus dealbatus} Rehder, \textit{L. elegans} (Blume) Hatus. ex Soepadmo, \textit{Quercus glauca} Thunb., \textit{Syzygium} spp. and \textit{Schima khasiana} Dyer. Whereas, the sub-canopy layer is dominated by \textit{Casearia glomerata} Roxb., \textit{Coffea khasiana} Hook. f., \textit{Eurya} spp., \textit{Macropanax dispermus} (Blume) Kuntze, \textit{Microtropis discolor} (Wall.) Meisn.,
Psychotria spp., Schefflera hypoleuca (Kurz) Harms, Schefflera venulosa (Wight & Arn.) Harms, Symlocos spicata Roxb., Sarcococca pruniformis Lindl. and Vernonia volkamerifolia DC.

**Taxonomic description**


Cylindrical body, 3–7 cm tall, stem erect with tuberous base. All parts off-white when young and dark brown when dry. Volva 1.5–2.5 × 0.5–2 cm. Scales 12, decussately opposite, imbricate, in three tiers of four each, sub-erect ascending, ovate, ovate-oblong, or lanceolate, 1–2.7 × 0.5–2 cm. Perianth fleshy white when young and brown when old, cupular, 0.5 × 1–1.9 cm, mouth entire or undulate. Staminal tubule, 14–20 mm long, anther many celled, honey-combed in a 2–6 mm broad ring. Ovary globose to ellipsoid, superior, sessile ca. 12 × 9 mm, unilocular; placentae parietal with sinately intruding lamellae masking the single locale. Ovules many on slender stalks, anatropous. Style stumpy, conical, stout, 3–4 mm long. Stigma subglobose, 4–5 mm long, 5–7 mm thick. Fruits subglobose-ovoid, 20–25 mm in diameter, dark brown, enclosed in dark brown scales. Seeds many, 3 mm long, brown (Figs. 1, 2).

Flowering and fruiting period: The plant is seen only during the winter season and it completes the whole life cycle from November to April.


The plant is reported from India (Meghalaya), Thailand, Cambodia, Vietnam China (Fujian, Guangdong, Guangxi, Taiwan, Yunnan), Japan (Shikoku, Kyushu, Ryukyu Islands), Sumatra, Borneo and New Guinea. In Meghalaya, the species was thought to be restricted only to the Mawsmai area (Rao and Balakrishnan 1972), but during the current study, in addition to the previous site, it could be collected from three fragmented forest patches located in Mawmluh and Laitryngew (Table 1).

*Mitrastemon yamamotoi* is a root parasite on many tree species and grows in thick, moist virgin forests (Rao and Balakrishnan 1972). The species grows so closely with the host that it seems to be a part of the host plant. The species grows mainly in moist and shady areas of the forests. Earlier it was reported to grow mainly on roots of Engelhardia spicata Blume, Castanopsis tribuloides, Vernonia volkamerifolia and Elaeocarpus lancifolius Roxb. (Rao and Balakrishnan 1972). But during our survey, in addition to above species it was found to grow on roots of Lithocarpus elegans, Psychotria adenophylla Wall., Calophyllum polyanthum Choisy and Elaeocarpus floribundus Blume as well. The majority of the individuals were found to grow on the roots of Lithocarpus elegans and Psychotria adenophylla. The habitat of the species is characterized by low light intensity (6–30 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), low air temperature (10–19 °C), high relative humidity (80–97%) and high rainfall (11,000 mm per year). However, there

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Area (ha)</th>
<th>Latitude (N)</th>
<th>Longitude (E)</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Disturbances</th>
<th>Population size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Law Spurba, Mawmluh</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>25°14.903’</td>
<td>91°43.841’</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Saiawmih, Mawsmai</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>25°14.354’</td>
<td>91°43.841’</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Arliang, Laitryngew</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>25°19.921’</td>
<td>91°44.159’</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Pjah, Laitryngew</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>25°19.748’</td>
<td>91°44.069’</td>
<td>1582</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>small</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is low or no rainfall during the winter months (December–February). Except rainfall, these environmental parameters are more or less similar to those of other parts of the world where this species is growing (Matuda 1947). It has also been observed that the plant exudates a honey like fluid in order to attract birds and insects, which aid the plant in pollination (Fig. 1D).

The population size of the species in the studied sites was very small and is in the danger of extinction. The major threats operating in the area of its occurrence are fragmentation and habitat destruction due to shifting cultivation,
deforestation for small timber and fuel wood collection, medicinal plant collection for commercial purposes, forest fires and mining of coal, limestones and sand (Upadhaya et al. 2013). The ever increasing forest fragmentation is changing the microclimatic conditions of the forests, hence making the environment unfavorable for the growth of this species. Moreover, because of its parasitic nature, cultivation of this species seems to be very difficult (Nayar and Sastry 1990). So far no conservation measures have been taken for the species in India. In order to conserve the species from extinction from the country, these forest patches in which the species occurs needs strict protection. In addition, regular monitoring of the population is needed.
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